## BRIEF NOTE ON LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

## PERTAINING TO SMALL TEA GROWERS OF NILIGIRIS

Date	Description
Earlier to the	Price for green tea leaf was fixed at the dictation of few
year 1998	factories, who were considered to be benevolent to the
	community. Therefore, STG's with a trust accepted the price
	offered by them without any question. There was no formula
	for Price Sharing.
199 <mark>8-9</mark> 9	Downfall in the tea industry world over, preferably because
	of globalization.
	However, over the years but for STGs, all other stake holders
	li <mark>k</mark> e Manufactur <mark>er, Brokers,</mark> Buyers start <mark>ed</mark> making profit.
9	Agitations by the STGs could not bring any positive results.
2002	Since the public agitation was failure and there was no
	politi <mark>cal support for the cause, havin</mark> g left with no other
	option it was decided to approach the Hon'ble High Court to
	invok <mark>e certain provisions</mark> in the Tea Act
	W.P No. 39192 of 2002 was filed in the name of Small Tea
뎡	Growers Protection Centre, a forum registered by me along
5.	with few interested individuals from Kotagiri
9	That Forum could not be sustained for longer period
8	because few of its members like K.B.Bojan, an Advocate
	from Kotagiri, started collecting money from STGs as well
	from the Factory owners.
	Because of difference of opinion among themselves that
T.	forum had disintegrated even while the case was pending.
	Me being an Advocate on Record had continued to conduct
	the case.
	A major achievement obtained from of the said case was
	that, The Government of India came forward and appointed
	ICWAI to work out the economics and costing involved in
	cultivating & harvesting green Tea Leaf and in
	manufacturing 'Made Tea' as well.

<b></b>	
October 2007	That ICWAI submitted its preliminary report holding that the
	price sharing between Growers and Factory Owners was not
	scientific. Accordingly by amending the Tea Marketing
	Control Order , a Price Sharing Formula was introduced
	For conducting the case all these years, I have not charged
	even <mark>a single</mark> rupee towards my professional fee. Further the
	entire expenses were incurred by me, personally.
	Introduction of Price Sharing Formula did not bring greater
	results because of Growers (STGs) are not ready to demand
	for their due share.
2008	Since there was no substainal result, and the condition of
	the STGs continued to be pathetic a subsequent writ
6	petition, W.P No, 8780 of 2008 was filed in the name of
	Thiru.R.Dharuman, t <mark>he</mark> Managing Trustee of Nelikolu Trust.
	Even for the said case I have not charged any fees and on
	the o <mark>ther hand I had inc</mark> urred the entire expenses on my
	own.
6	On coming to know that the W.P No. 8780 of 2008 was filed,
3.	Mr.K. <mark>B.Bojan Advocate</mark> made repeated request that a similar
5	case m <mark>ay be also filed in his name also</mark> , since he had alre <mark>a</mark> dy
19	collected amounts from Growers and Factory owners at
	Kotagiri area.
	The mistake committed by me was in entertaining that
T.	unjust request of K.B.Bojan and filling yet another case in
	W.P No.10091 of 2008 in his name.
	But for subscribing his signature he has not contributed
	professionally, financially, or at least morally to conduct the
	said case.
	As usual both the above writ petitions were also conducted
	by myself, at my own expense.
L	1

[	
October 2012	After elaborate hearing final order was passed in W.P No.s
	8780 & 10090 of 2008. The operative portion of the said
	order is extracted below
	"Under the said circumstances, the first respondent Union of
	India is directed to exercise its power under Section 30 of the
	Tea Act, 1953 by calling for a realistic report on the problem
	faced by the small tea growers in Nilgiris District and thereafter,
	arrive at a scientific sharing formula between the growers and
	the manufacturers. Since the monopoly power is vested on the
	Government of India, in this regard, it requires a scientific
9	human approach and should not be dictated by the artificial
	market forces. The endeavour of Government of India must be to
6	provide a realistic price in respect of the green leaf produced by
	the s <mark>mall tea growers and must based up</mark> on the ground reality
	regar <mark>ding the cost of production of such g</mark> reen leaf. The Union
	of Ind <mark>ia will also take note of the ICWAI Co</mark> mmit <mark>t</mark> ee report dated
	05.05 <mark>.2008 and may even ca</mark> ll for any oth <mark>er stud</mark> y in this regard
	and a <mark>rrive at a just and</mark> reasonable conclusion and price to be
13	paid f <mark>or the green leaf p</mark> roduced by small growe <mark>r</mark> s. This exerc <mark>is</mark> e
9	shall <mark>be undertaken by t</mark> he Union of India withi <mark>n</mark> a period of <mark>s</mark> ix
E.	month <mark>s from the date of receipt of a cop</mark> y of th <mark>i</mark> s order."
A A	To the fortune of STGs the then Tea Board officers decided
	to implement the order of the Hon'ble High Court without
	going on appeal against the said order.
	Pursuant to the above judgment the Government of India
P	appointed the IIPM, Bangalore to conduct a field survey to
( YO	assess the Socioeconomic life of the STGs
	It is very pertinent to state that many of the findings of
	ICWAI & IIPM were in favor of STGs
	I am of the strong opinion that our brethrens STGs must be
	made more sensitive to the findings of these Committees. As
	stated by the committee report the Gowers are not at all
	sensitive regarding our own rights.
L	

2013	To achieve the above objective i.e to organize the STGs and
2010	to sensitize them it was thought fit to create a separate
	Association.
	Accordingly the Niligiri Nelikolu Small Tea Growers
	Association, a registered society was formed
	Unfortunately, the said Association was not able to live upto
	our expectation.
	The path breaking judgment obtained in favor of STGs has
	not reached the attention of the Growers even till date.
August 2013	As a first step in implicating the High Court order, the
	Government of India and the Tea Board announced the
	formation of District Price Monitoring Committee(DPMC)
6	unde <mark>r the Chairman ship of the Distric</mark> t Collector.
	Further directions were issued that The Tea Board will
	anno <mark>unce the Average Price for the tea</mark> sold t <mark>hro</mark> ugh auction
	cente <mark>rs in the Nilgiris District and it</mark> will be published in
	their official website at the beginning of every month.
	This is to facilitate the DPMC to consider the same and
नि	anno <mark>unce the Bench</mark> Mark Price, which is the District
5.	average.
6	Every BLF shall pay that Bench Mark Price as and when the
8	green leaf was bought to their factory site.
	They should not withhold the payment for a month, as it is
6	in practice today.
	Further the BLFs shall declare rates fetched by them by
T.	sales at auction center as well as by way of private sale.
	Considering both, the prices realized by them and by
	applying the 65:35 formula, individual factories shall
	declare the price payable by them to their supplier Grower.

	If the rate declared by the BLF is less than the Bench Mark
	Price, the factories must pay the Bench Mark Price as
	announced by the DPMC.
	At any cost the rate to be declared, if it honestly done by the
	factorie <mark>s, should not be less than Ben</mark> ch Mark Price because
	50% of their Made Tea were sold by private sale by which
	they are earning more profit.
	The net result is that the individual factories shall pay the
	Bench Mark Price as and when the green leaf was supplied.
	Further by the end of the month after declaring their sale
	proceeds shall pay the remaining amount to the Growers.
April,2015	Appropriate amendments were brought to the Tea Marketing
6	Control Order to incorporate the above directions. Therefore,
	as on date the directives issued by Tea Board are considered
	to be <b>'The Rule' on the subject and it</b> is binding upon the
	individual factories.
	Ever since its formation the DPMC is announcing the Bench
	Mark Price.
5	But the factories are protesting to pay even the said Bench
3.	Mark Price. It should be understood that the obligation on
5	the pa <mark>rt of the Factories is not just to</mark> the B <mark>e</mark> nch Mark P <mark>ri</mark> ce
19	alone but to share the additional profit they earn through
	the Private sale
	Whereas, we the Growers are not even aware to demand at
	least the Bench Mark Price as fixed by the DPMC.
	The DPMC, on our persistent demand, announced that
	Rs.33 croces is the differential amount to be paid by the
	factories. Once again understand that it is the differential
	amount between the Bench Mark Price and the actual rate
	received by the Grower, leave alone the actual profit sharing,
	which the factories are expected to do.
	Out of said 32 crores, 20 croces are pertaining to the 14
	INDCOSERVE factories

	The demand of the INDCOSERVE factories, that they should
	not be categorised as BLFs was considered and <b>rejected</b> by
	the Tea Board in the month of June, 2018
	In result every tea factory in The Nilgiris is legally bound to
	pay the Bench Mark Price as announced by the DPMC as
	and when the green leaf is brought to their site. Further the
	factories by following the honest trade practice shall declare
	their earning through private sale also and must come
	forward to share that profit with the Growers also, since the
	Growers are the suppliers of the raw material, namely the
	Green Leaf.
	Understand that even today they are not purchasing our
6	green leaf. They are only sharing the price only after a
	month.
	The Bought leaf factories Association have filed a case in W.P
	No. 2 <mark>9448 of 2017 challenging the very constitution of the</mark>
	DPM <mark>C. Number of individ</mark> ual factories had also filed number
	of wr <mark>it petitions.</mark>
5	Though the said writ petitions were admitted, the Hon'ble
3.	High Court has not granted any interim order as it was
5	requested by them. Therefore, the pendency of the writ
19	petition is not a bar against the Growers for demanding the
	price to which they are legally entitle.
	Therefore, the individual Growers must be sensitize to
	demand their due share.
T.	Without the participation of the Grower members contesting
	a cases will not see the light of the day.
	A DOCUMENTATION CF

~